IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.60 OF 2005
IN
REVIEW PETITION ST.NO.25268 OF 2005
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.392 OF 2004

Shri J.B.Shirodkar Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & ors. Respondents

Mr.S.D.Dharmadhikari for petitioner.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.

December 19, 2005.

P.C. :

1. Heard Mr.Dharmadhikari, the learned counsel for the petitioner. His Contempt Petition No.392 of 2004 was summarily rejected on 21/4/2005 and against the said order Review Petition Stamp No. 25268 of 2005 has been filed, but belatedly. Hence this application for condonation of delay. As per the office note the review petition is beyond time by 153 days and the only reason given is a communication gap between the petitioner and his learned Advocate as well as the time taken for obtaining an authenticated copy.

2. Admittedly the learned Advocate for the

petitioner was heard on 21-4-2005 and he was aware of the order passed on that day rejecting the Contempt Petition No.392 of 2004. The reasons given for condonation of delay do not, in such circumstances, make out good and sufficient reasons. Even otherwise there is no reason to entertain the review petition in a contempt petition.

3. The application is, therefore, rejected.

(B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.)